UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,449	07/25/2006	Willem Auke Westerhof	NL040093US1	1223
	7590 04/04/200 CTRONICS NORTH A	8 MERICA CORPORATION	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTU	ECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS RIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG		DEXTER, CLARK F	
SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/587,449	WESTERHOF ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Clark F. Dexter	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	•					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 <i>July</i> 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	J-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/25/06</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on July 25, 2006 has been received and the references listed thereon have been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Figure 4, numeral 27 should be added to indicate the inclined surface of the adjustment member that cooperates with the inclined surface 26 of the holder 17 for clarity.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Application/Control Number: 10/587,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Abstract

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of "means:" in lines 3, 4 and 5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 4, line 17, "very" should be deleted for clarity.

On page 5, line 3, "very" should be deleted for clarity; in line 7, a character or --(not shown)-- should be inserted after "clamping means" for clarity; in line 11, "means of" should be deleted for clarity; in line 34, "26" is confusing as to what feature it indicates (i.e., the inclined surfaces of which component), and it is suggested after "26" to insert --of holder 17 and the inclined surfaces of 27 of adjustment member 19-- for clarity.

On page 6, lines 3 and 5 (twice), "26" should be changed to --26, 27-- for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2 "the guiding member which is present in front of the blades" lacks positive antecedent basis; in lines 3-4, "the guiding member which is present behind of the blades" lacks positive antecedent basis.

In claim 3, lines 2-3, the recitation "with respect to the blade assembly" is vague and indefinite since the adjustable guiding member is part of the blade assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 4, line 3, the recitation "preferably in any position ..." is vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aviza, PG Publication 2005/0126007.

Aviza discloses a razor apparatus/assembly in various forms with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including an adjustable guiding member (e.g., 24), wherein the adjustable guiding member is a lubrication member (e.g., see para. 014).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,449 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

12. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aviza, PG Publication 2005/0126007.

Aviza discloses a razor apparatus/assembly in various forms with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including the structure set forth in claim 8 (e.g., which reads on the structure of at least some of the disclosed embodiments including the cooperating threaded structures shown in Fig. 9A.), but lacks a spring means for pushing the guiding means into the frame. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such spring means are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including to provide a desired biasing action to facilitate movement of a component. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a such a spring means on the razor of Aviza to gain the well known benefits including that described above.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,449 Page 7

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-

4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd

March 18, 2008